Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		- 41
	10/597,859	GHOTGE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phung M. Chung	2117
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Phung M. Chung.	(3)	
(2) Mark Saralino (phone No. 216-621-1113).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2/5/10 and 2/8/10.	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephoric Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: f-ff		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Teleptone discussions between Applicant's representative Mark: Sarainn and Examiner Chung users held on Fabruary 5, 2010 and Fabruary 8, 2010 Applicant's representative explained the internal representative proposed some changes to the above existent. However, Applicant's representative could not get approval from this clients on time. Therefore, as agrees by Mr. Sarainn. Examiner will sand out an office action with regard to the subject matter as discussed on the interview. (See the office action dated on 2/11/2010.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written surmary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Albowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provides a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all seuses. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Phung M. Chung/ Primary Patent Examiner		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)